Environment and Climate Change Canada



Russian Federal Service for Hydrometeorology and Environmental Monitoring

World Meteorological Organization Pan-Arctic Regional Climate Outlook Forum SUMMARY REPORT



May 15-16, 2018 Ottawa, Canada



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	.3
2.	PARCOF-1 Objectives	.3
3.	Feedback from Users	.4
3	.1 User Needs	.4
3	.2 General Feedback on the Seasonal Outlooks	.5
	3.2.1 Specific Feedback on the Temperature Outlooks	.6
	3.2.2 Specific Feedback on Precipitation Outlooks	.6
	3.2.3 Specific Feedback on the Sea-Ice Outlooks	6
3	.4 Other Near-Real-Time and Forecast Information that users would like	. 7
3	.3 Feedback on the Seasonal Summaries	. 7
4.	Lessons Learned	. 7
5.	Next Steps	.8
Арр	endix A – List of PARCOF Participants	.9
Арр	endix B – PARCOF Agenda1	12
Арр	endix C – BREAK-OUT GROUP QUESTIONS1	4

1. Introduction

Climate change in the Arctic is affecting the entire Earth system. Indigenous Peoples and communities, Northerners, industry and wildlife are experiencing significant and direct impacts. For example, temperature increases have led to significant reductions of sea ice, thawing permafrost and coastal erosion. To meet the Arctic adaptation and decision-making needs, substantial progress has been made towards the establishment of an Arctic Regional Climate Centre Network (ArcRCC-Network). The ArcRCC-Network is based on the <u>World Meteorological Organization (WMO) RCC concept</u> with active contributions from all the Arctic Council member countries through a mutually agreed structure consisting of three sub-regional geographical nodes, namely, (i) North America Node, (ii) Northern Europe and Greenland Node and (iii) Eurasia Node. For more information on the ArcRCC please view the link <u>here</u>.

The presence or absence of ice regulates many activities in the Arctic such as transportation, fishing and hunting, tourism, resource extraction, etc. It may be said that the Arctic experiences two main seasons: a long and icy winter of about 9 months and a short and cool summer of about 3 months. Freezing and thawing periods on the fringes of these two seasons are among the most important considerations for many sectors. Bi-annual forums are being planned to meet with users twice per year: a face-to-face meeting in April/May prior to the Arctic summer season, and a virtual meeting in October prior to the Arctic winter season.

2. PARCOF-1 Objectives

As part of ArcRCC-Network implementation and ongoing engagement strategy, the inaugural session of the Pan-Arctic Regional Climate Outlook Forum, PARCOF-1, was held in Ottawa, Canada, from 15 to 16 May, 2018, hosted by the Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) and co-sponsored by WMO.

The PARCOF-1 focused on meeting with Arctic Commercial Shipping users and Circumpolar Indigenous organizations (*see Appendix A for the participants list*). The participation of Indigenous peoples who have lived for generations in the Arctic is invaluable to the success of this initiative. Their participation, needs, observations and skills are essential inputs to the ArcRCC-Network. The PARCOF will engage with the Arctic Council Permanent Participants to seek an ongoing dialogue and encourage Indigenous perspectives in a meaningful way.

The PARCOF occurred over 2 days to allow for <u>presentations</u>, break-out discussions and training activities (see Appendix B for Agenda and Appendix C for break-out questions). The objectives of the first PARCOF were to:

- Share the current state of climate products available for the Arctic
- Better understand user needs
- Co-produce the output products (i.e. regions, graphics, plain language text)
- Share and get feedback on the new ArcRCC web site.
- Develop a model for engaging with users in future outlook forums

One of the key outcomes of the PARCOF-1 was to produce an integrated <u>ArcRCC consensus</u> <u>statement for Summer 2018</u> from the network. This consensus statement synthesized the current climatological conditions and forecasts ("outlook") for temperature, precipitation and sea-ice to highlight potential implications or risks for decision-making by various sectors. This consensus statement was announced through a <u>WMO press release</u>, and launched the official start of the ArcRCC demonstration phase.

3. Feedback from Users

The PARCOF-1 provided the opportunity for the ArcRCC-Network to collaborate in creating climate products for the first time, and to meet network members face-to-face. Working across international boundaries and time zones there were many lessons learned in developing these first demonstration products. However, the ArcRCC-Network members all voiced that they learned a tremendous amount about the relevant Arctic climate information needs and perspectives from the Indigenous and shipping organizations that participated. These users provided feedback that was honest, open, gracious, extremely valued, and will help to shape the products and how they are communicated during the demonstration phase of ArcRCC-Network and beyond. The following sections provide a summary of the feedback received from the users during the break-out groups and in plenary discussions.

3.1 User Needs

- Cruise tourism organizations plan and sell tickets for their voyages up to 2-3 years in advance and therefore could use 2-3 year forecasts. Commercial shippers begin planning 3-6 months in advance and found the seasonal forecasts useful. The shippers noted that they utilized National Climate data to look at trends to support their decision-making and National Weather and Ice information for daily operations and to monitor sea-ice freezeup and break-up at the week scale.
- The ability for shippers who cross International boundaries to go to one website Pan-Arctic website for all their information needs instead of going to multiple websites would be ideal. Indigenous users noted that higher resolution temporal and spatial scales are needed before they become useful at regional community scales. Community governments may use outlooks to plan resupply 3 months in advance, but hunters would only start planning as early as 3 weeks in advance.
- Communities used meteorological services for weather information, but not climate, they rely on their Indigenous knowledge.
- Indigenous knowledge holders still rely on their knowledge and will draw on additional information to help augment their decisions. They discussed that it is the younger generation who need to rely more on weather and ice information from national services.
- Lakes and rivers are also important for ice road regional travel and shipping, and local travel for hunting, fishing and sources of drinking water.
- Data access is still a challenge. Internet connections and speeds are limited, so products cannot be large file sizes. Ships rely on staff in offices on the land to compress files and e-mail them since they often do not have access the internet.

3.2 General Feedback on the Seasonal Outlooks

- Current Pan-Arctic scale is good for climate change monitoring and trends for Arctic science/policy needs, i.e. Arctic Council and United Nations. ArcRCC will provide regular products twice a year (Winter/Summer) to fill some gaps in Arctic Council and IPCC Assessments that are not produced regularly and can become quickly outdated.
- Users found the presentations too scientific and the products difficult to understand especially the skill of the product and probabilities. Expert interpretation is required since some of products can't stand on their own. The products need to be as simple as possible, there is too much information and they are not meteorologists.
- The legends on the Temperature and Precipitation outlooks do not explain the areas of white (no skill).
- Some users thought that training and a manual on the products could be useful but training material needs will vary greatly.
- Other users suggested that many will not have access to training and people will not read or understand user manuals, therefore the products need to be designed so anyone can understand them without training.
- Suggestion were made to develop products for 2 levels of users: general and advanced users
- Need to find ways to bring these products to the community to design them so they are useful and understandable, and exchange information with elders to see where the products and indigenous knowledge is similar. Need to interact with Indigenous knowledge holders in a two-way dialogue so Indigenous knowledge holders can share their knowledge and learn and trust the ArcRCC information.
- Indigenous knowledge is oral-based; not all ArcRCC products need to be visual products. Indigenous users may best utilize a variety of information which may include tables and text summaries.
- Users liked the text descriptions in the sea-ice outlooks which described the different regions and how they identified risks for navigation. This should also be done for communities to show sea-ice travel risk. It could also be done with temperature (risk of forest fires) and precipitation (risks for flooding/drought) products.
- The text could be beneficial for users with low-bandwidth or to communicate over the radio.
- The three categories of below, near and above normal are not specific enough. How much colder or wetter (2-3 degrees Celsius, or 2-3 mm of rain) they would prefer to see numbers.
- As we move forward it would be good to see compare the outlooks with the seasonal summaries
- It would also be good to share seasonal summaries with Indigenous users and discuss key years/seasons in their oral histories.
- Colour coding and legends need to be consistent amongst the products.
- ArcRCC should offer products in both high and low resolutions, and interactive maps.

- Develop interactive maps where the user can zoom in on the products to their region(s) of interest, the current outputs are too small.
- Seasonal products are not as useful for users, and they are very interested in sub-seasonal (monthly, 3-6 week period) scale.

3.2.1 Specific Feedback on the Temperature Outlooks

- Colour scale of red (above normal temperatures) and blue (below normal temperatures) was found to be confusing. As the shades of red got darker, users thought that this meant these areas were warmer or more dangerous when in fact it meant it had a higher probability, and vice versa for the blue shades.
- A separate overlay with the probabilities could help.

3.2.2 Specific Feedback on Precipitation Outlooks

• The outlooks cannot separate out rain from snow. One must assume that in the summer it would be rain and winter it would be snow. Difficult to know in the spring and fall seasons whether precipitation would be rain and/or snow.

3.2.3 Specific Feedback on the Sea-Ice Outlooks

- This outlook showed the percentage of probably of sea-ice concentrations greater than 15% for Sept 2018. Users had difficulty understanding this product after learning how to read the Temperature and Precipitation Outlooks. Would be helpful is there was some consistency between all the Outlooks.
- Users found that the sea-ice outlook graphic for September 2018 was not really useful or intuitive. Graphics were too small to identify their areas of interest. The users found the text describing the different regions and how they identified risks for navigation more user friendly and could be expanded to identify risks for other Arctic climate users.
- All agreed the freeze-up and break-up were the most important times and the single seasonal summary for the summer did not provide them with enough information to understand sea-ice freeze-up and break-up.
- Monthly outlooks starting in January would allow users to monitor the potential evolution of the sea-ice break-up/melt. Starting in August monthly sea-ice outlooks would also be more useful to monitor the potential sea-ice freeze-up.
- Graphics showing the ice edge and concentration would be better for community sea-ice travel and shipping.
- Shippers would like to see products on navigability. Known areas of "go" or "no-go" based on historical data with anomalies highlighting the differences.

3.4 Other Near-Real-Time and Forecast Information that users would like

- Communities would like to have access to the information that is available to the pilots that are flying into northern communities. They assume that their information is more real-time than what they have access to from the National Weather Services.
- Information on cloudiness, wind speed and direction, snow depth, waves, storminess, ice pressure, ice ridges, ice thickness, locations of fast ice, lakes and rivers (freeze-up, break-up and thickness), and ocean currents and temperatures were also discussed.
- Changes in the Permafrost active layer for monitoring ice roads, erosion and community infrastructure.
- This information could be provided as data, as some Indigenous users can make their own decisions with the data. However others suggested products which combined some of this information such as wind + precipitation, wind + tides + ice conditions for a variety of users.

3.3 Feedback on the Seasonal Summaries

- Users liked the ranking temperature and precipitation graphics and found them fairly easy to understand. Users would like to be able to zoom in on areas of interest.
- These summaries are good for monitoring climate change and understanding larger scale trends for adaptation strategies.
- Also good in preparing for future resource development and environmental assessments.
- Perhaps using 30-years is not as relevant as the last 10 years since there has been so much change.
- Regional local decision-makers would find seasonal summaries on a more regional, local scale more useful
- Seasonal summaries and time series that describe how things are changing, to compare to the previous year(s) would be helpful. For example, the sea-ice broke up 2 weeks early/later, or the sea-ice was thicker/thinner.
- Users would like to see seasonal summaries on changes/trends in snow, fast-ice, locations
 of multi-year ice, sea-ice freeze-up and break-up, storm statistics (frequency and
 duration, track, maximum winds), wind direction and velocity, wave climatology and wave
 height analysis, extreme events, permafrost, lakes and rivers. Russia and the <u>Global</u>
 <u>Cryospheric Watch</u> identified some current products/climatology's that are available

4. Lessons Learned

- Products need to be designed from a user's, not a modelling output perspective. Currently the products are not intuitive and access to training may not be equitable or desirable.
- We need to stop referring to the Arctic as a harsh and remote environment. It's a beautiful place where Indigenous peoples have lived for millennia.

- Arctic Indigenous peoples are not only users, but producers of environmental information. Indigenous knowledge can have a significant impact on ground-truthing satellite data and insitu sensors, climate trends, initializing models and in the development of forecasts. National Meteorological and Ice Services need to look at how to work with Indigenous knowledge producers to co-develop culturally relevant local and regional scale products. Russia, Alaska and Canada gave examples of some forecasts they provide with shipping, offshore and coastal community users in mind.
- National and International organizations separate climate and weather services but users do not, they are interested in all temporal scales from hourly to 2-3 years in advance. Intuitively this makes sense, but bringing this all together will be a challenge for ArcRCC and their partners at National Meteorological and Ice services to bridge the climate and regional/local weather products (see above bullet) and (see *Other information that users would like*).
- To invite and prepare users for the PARCOF takes time and is a shared ArcRCC-Network effort. The users that were invited to the Ottawa PARCOF were contacted 6 months in advance and several telephone and/or face-to face conversations took place to explain: the ArcRCC; the objectives of the PARCOF; their role and in presenting and in the break-out sessions; and to determine their interest and objectives in participating.
- Although the modellers prefer to have the PARCOF in May as the skill of the forecasts improves as you get closer to the summer season, the shipping and Indigenous representatives noted that May is a very busy time for summer operations and Indigenous peoples are out one the land/sea-ice hunting and fishing. Greater user participation would be possible in April.

5. Next Steps

The Norwegian Node will be hosting the October virtual forum to present the Winter 2018/19 Arctic Consensus Statement, and review further feedback from the PARCOF-1 users. In preparation for the Winter 2018/19 Consensus Statement the ArcRCC network will begin to utilize the feedback received at the PARCOF-1 to revise products and the website.

Future PARCOFs will be hosted by various ArcRCC network members in other circumpolar countries. The end-user focus of the forums will be discussed amongst the network and will be influenced by where the next forum is held. Arctic Council and Indigenous participants will be invited to all future forums as their needs cut across all sectors. Future PARCOFs may include other Arctic climate users such as (but not limited to):

- Arctic Ecosystems and Wildlife
- Arctic Defence, Marine Safety, Search and Rescue, Regulators, Pollution/Oil Spills
- Arctic Health and Populations
- Natural Resource Development
- Infrastructure: Terrestrial, Marine, Telecommunications
- Arctic Policy/Science

Appendix A – List of PARCOF Participants

	Name	Title	Organization	E-mail
1	Abderrahmane Yagouti	Canadian Centre for Climate Services	Environment and Climate Change Canada	abderrahmane.yagouti@canada.ca
2	Adrienne Tivy	ArcRCC North America Node Climate Monitoring Representative	Canadian Ice Service, Meteorological Service of Canada	adrienne.tivy@canada.ca
3	Anahit Hovsepyan	Scientific Officer	World Climate Applications & Services Division World Meteorological Organization	<u>ahovsepyan@wmo.int</u>
4	Anne Walker	Global Cryospheric Watch	Environment and Climate Change Canada	anne.walker@canada.ca
5	Annika Ogilve	Arctic Operations	Fednav Shipping	AOgilvie@fednav.com
6	Arun Kumar	ArcRCC North American Node Climate Monitoring Representative	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	arun.kumar@noaa.gov
7	Barry Goodison	Vice Chair of the GCW Science Steering Group	Global Cryospheric Watch	<u>barrygo@rogers.com</u>
8	Bertrand Denis	ArcRCC North American Node Climate Forecasting Representative	Meteorological Service of Canada	bertrand.denis@canada.ca
9	Bill Appleby	Director, Prediction Services Operations East	Environment and Climate Change Canada	bill.appleby@canada.ca
10	Bridget Larocque	Policy Advisor	Arctic Athabaskan Council	doogie3@hotmail.com
11	Bruno Tremblay	Associate Professor Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences	McGill University	bruno.tremblay@mcgill.ca
12	Bruce Angle	Observer		Wxangle58@gmail.com
13	Cyril Palerme	ArcRCC Northern European Node Climate Forecasting Representative	Norwegian Meteorological Institute	<u>cyrilp@met.no</u>
14	David Grimes	President WMO ADM Meteorological Service of Canada	Environment and Climate Change Canada	David.grimes@canada.ca
15	Erik Sparling	Canadian Centre for Climate Services	Environment and Climate Change Canada	Erik.Sparling@canada.ca

16	Eivind Støylen	ArcRCC Northern European Node Lead & Climate Data Services Representative	Norwegian Meteorological Institute	<u>eivinds@met.no</u>
17	Gabrielle Gascon	Climate Monitoring NA Node Lead	Prediction Services Operations West Meteorological Service of Canada	Gabrielle.Gascon@canada.ca
18	Halldór Björnsson	Atmospheric Research	Icelandic Meteorological Organization	halldor@vedur.is
19	Helge Tangen	ArcRCC Network Coordinator	Norwegian Meteorological Institute	<u>helget@met.no</u>
20	Hilppa Gregow	ArcRCC Northern European Node Climate Monitoring Representative	Finnish Met Institute	<u>hilppa.gregow@fmi.fi</u>
21	Johanna Ekman	Project Manager	Finnish Meteorological Institute	johanna.ekman@fmi.fi
22	Joanna MacDonald	Climate Change and Health Officer will attend the 2 days	Inuit Circumpolar Council	jmacdonald@inuitcircumpolar.com
23	John Parker	PARCOF lead, NA Node Lead	Meteorological Service of Canada	john.parker2@canada.ca
24	Katherine Wilson	PARCOF coordinator	Environment and Climate Change Canada	katherine.wilson@canada.ca
25	Kevin Berberich	Deputy Director, National Ice Center	National Ice Center	kevin.berberich@noaa.gov
26	Leslie Malone	Regional Climate Centre-Global Cryospheric Watch Liaison	World Meteorological Organization	lesliemalone@rogers.com
27	Lo Cheng	Canadian Centre for Climate Services	Environment and Climate Change Canada	lo.cheng@canada.ca
28	Marc-Andre Lebel	Chief of Operations	Canadian Ice Service	marc-andre.lebel@canada.ca
29	Martine Dubuc	Associate Deputy Minister	Environment and Climate Change Canada	
30	Marko Markovic	NA Node: Long Range Forecasting	Canadian Centre for Meteorological and Environmental Prediction	marko.markovic@canada.ca
31	Marylène Dessureault	Program Supervisor/Advisor	Meteorological Service of Canada	marylene.dessureault@canada.ca

32	Martin Stendel	Climate Scientist	Danish Meteorological Institute	<u>mas@dmi.dk</u>
33	Mélanie Cousineau	Policy and Planning	Meteorological Service of Canada	melanie.cousineau2@canada.ca
34	Mike Crowe	Meteorological Service of Canada	Environment and Climate Change Canada	michael.crowe3@canada.ca
35	Nikolai Kondratov	Associate Professor	Northern (Arctic) Federal University, Arkhangelsk	n.kondratov@narfu.ru
36	Paul Pestieau	Meteorological Service of Canada	Environment and Climate Change Canada	paul.pestieau@canada.ca
37	Robert Kadas	Office of Canada's Senior Arctic Official	Global Affairs Canada	Robert.Kadas@international.gc.ca
38	Rick Thoman	National Weather Service Alaska	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	richard.thoman@noaa.gov
39	Rupa Kumar Kolli	Chief, World Climate Applications & Services Division	World Meteorological Organization	<u>RKolli@wmo.int</u>
40	Shanna Pitter	ArcRCC North American Node Consortium Member	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	shanna.pitter@noaa.gov
41	Stefan Kredel	Director expedition shore programs	Silversea Cruises (UK)	stefank@silversea.com
42	Stephanie Meakin	Science Advisor will present and then leave	Inuit Circumpolar Council	meakin.steph@gmail.com
43	Sylvain Deland	Environmental Programs Coordinator	Prediction Services Operations Central	sylvain.deland@canada.ca
44	Valentina Khan	ArcRCC Eurasian Node Climate Forecasting Representative	Hydro meteorological Research Centre of the Russian Federation	valentina_khan2000@yahoo.com
45	Vasily Smolianitsky	ArcRCC Eurasian Node Lead and Climate Monitoring Representative	Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute	<u>vms@aari.aq</u>
46	Vera Metcalf	Director	Eskimo Walrus Commission	vmetcalf@kawerak.org
47	Véronique Bouchet	ArcRCC North America Node Climate Data Services Representative	Environment and Climate Change Canada	veronique.bouchet@canada.ca

Appendix B – PARCOF Agenda

Day 1: Tuesday May 15, 2018				
Les Suites Hotel, 130 Besserer Street, Byward Suite (2 nd Floor)				
TIME IT	ΓΙΜΕ ΙΤΕΜ			
8:30 R	egistration			
Welcome and Overview of Arctic Climate				
9:00 N	lartine Dubuc			
	ssociate Deputy Minister			
	nvironment and Climate Change Canada			
	obert Kadas			
	enior Advisor, office of Canada's Senior Arctic Official to the Arctic Council			
-	lobal Affairs Canada			
	avid Grimes			
	resident World Meteorological Organization ssistant Deputy Minister, Meteorological Service of Canada,			
	nvironment and Climate Change Canada			
	Introducing the Arctic Polar Regional Climate Centre (ArcRCC) &			
	the Pan Arctic Regional Outlook Forum (PARCOF)			
9:30 H	elge Tangen			
	rcRCC Network Coordinator, Norwegian Meteorological Institute			
	bhn Parker			
Fo	orum Lead, North American Lead			
Ca	anadian Ice Service, Meteorological Service of Canada			
	Hearing from the End Users: 1			
10:00 St	ephanie Meakin			
	uit Circumpolar Council – Canada			
	ridget Larocque			
	rctic Athabaskan Council – Canada			
	REAK			
	era Metcalf			
	skimo Whaling Commission – US/Alaska			
	lana Faber retic Adventures – Canada			
Arctic Adventures – Canada ArcRCC Seasonal Temperature and Precipitation Outlook Product				
	larko Marcovic and Bertrand Denis			
-	anadian Centre for Meteorological and Environmental Prediction			
	Showing the new ArcRCC Temperature and Precipitation Outlooks, what they			
	mean and how you can use them			
	 Outlining break-out group objectives and questions 			
11:45 LU	JNCH (on your own)			
	&P Outlook break-out groups (separate rooms)			
	eporting back from T&P break-out groups (Byward Suite)			
	aul Pestieau			
Ye	ear of Polar Prediction			

2:45	Health Break			
	ArcRCC Seasonal Sea-Ice Outlook Products			
3:00	Bruno Tremblay ¹ and Bertrand Denis ²			
	1. Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, McGill University			
	2. Canadian Centre for Meteorological and Environmental Prediction			
	 Showing the new ArcRCC Sea-ice Outlooks, what they mean and how you can use 			
	them			
	 Outlining break-out group objectives and questions 			
3:30	Sea-Ice Outlook break-out groups (separate rooms)			
4:30	Reporting back from Sea-Ice break-out groups (Byward Suite)			
5:00	Wrap up for the day			

Day 2: Wednesday May 16, 2018					
TIME	TIME ITEM				
	Hearing from the End Users: 2				
9:00	Stefan Kredel				
	Silversea Cruises - Europe				
9:15	Annika Ogilvie				
	Fednav Shipping - Canada				
	ArcRCC Website				
9:30	Eivind Støylen				
	Norwegian Meteorological Institute				
	 A tour of the website and where you can find the products 				
	Questions and feedback on the website, group discussion				
	ArcRCC Seasonal Summary Products				
10:00	Vasily Smolyanitsky ¹ and Gabrielle Gascon ²				
	1. Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute, Russia				
	2. Prediction Services West, Meteorological Service of Canada				
	 Showing the new ArcRCC Seasonal summaries, what they mean and how you can 				
	use them				
	Outlining break-out group objectives and questions				
10:30	BREAK				
10:45	Seasonal Summary break-out groups (separate rooms)				
11:45	Reporting back from seasonal summary break-out groups (back in Byward Suite)				
12:15	12:15 LUNCH (On your own)				
	ArcRCC Summer 2018 Consensus Statement				
1:30	Vasily Smolyanitsky and Bertrand Denis				
	Review of Consensus statement for the Arctic Summer 2018				
	Questions and feedback on the consensus statement, group discussion				
2:30	BREAK				
2:45	Planning for ongoing communications				
3:15	Round Table: Ask participants for their impressions of the 1 st PARCOF				
3:45	Suggestions for future forums with users				
4:00	End of Forum				

Appendix C – BREAK-OUT GROUP QUESTIONS

The following questions were provided in advance to share examples of the types of questions that would be asked during the break-out groups.

1.	Current use of Monthly/Seasonal Climate information.
a)	Do you currently use climate information?
b)	If so, what type of climate information are you currently using and where do you get that information?
c)	How do you use that information (operational or climate change adaptation decision-making)?
2.	Needs for Monthly/Seasonal Climate information
a)	What climate information do you need that you don't currently have access to?
b)	For what region(s) do you need climate information?
c)	For what time/period (e.g. week, month, season) is climate information key to you?
d)	When do you need the information? What lead times are critical to you (e.g. a month or 3
	months in advance)? How often does this information need to be updated?
3.	Understanding the new ArcRCC Climate products (to be introduced at the PARCOF)
a)	Do you have a good understanding of the initial ArcRCC products that were presented?
b)	Do you have questions about the products, their accuracy and/or limitations?
c)	Do you think your community/sector will understand and use this information to meet their decision-making needs?
d)	Could improvements be made to better meet your needs, for example change how the information is presented (e.g. graph, tables, charts, text summary, etc.)?
e)	Do you need any additional information/training on the products?
f)	Are there any technical limitations for you in accessing the products?